



The references below are not endorsed by the University, but are included here to provide general information on this topic.

Online Evaluations: References, Studies, and Summaries

Berk, R. A. (2012). Top 20 strategies to increase the online response rates of student rating scales. *International Journal of Technology in Teaching and Learning*, 8(2), 98–107. Retrieved from http://www.ronberk.com/articles/2012_top20.pdf

Burton, W., Civitano, A., and Steiner-Grossman, P. (2012). Online versus paper evaluations: Differences in both quantitative and qualitative data. *Journal of Computing in Higher Education*, 24(1), 58–69.

Donovan, J., Mader, C. E., and Shinsky, J. (2006). Constructive student feedback: Online vs. traditional course evaluations. *Journal of Interactive Online Learning*, 5(3), 283–295.

Electronic Course Evaluation Analysis. (2013). Rep. no. 6. Vol. 13–14. Waco, TX: Baylor University. Print. IRT Ser. Retrieved from <http://www.baylor.edu/irt/doc.php/245093.pdf>

FAQs about response rates and bias in online course evaluations, McGill University. Retrieved from <https://www.mcgill.ca/tls/files/tls/responseratesandbiasonlinecourseevaluationsjuly09.pdf>

Fike, D., Doyle, D., and Connelly, R. (2010). Online vs. paper evaluations of faculty: When less is just as good. *The Journal of Effective Teaching*, 10(2), 42–54.

Heath, N. M., Lawyer, S. R., and Rasmussen, E. B. (2007). A comparison of web-based versus pencil-and-paper course evaluations. *Teaching Psychology*, 34, 259–261.

Additional Information from Universities and Associations

Busting the Myths (PACRAO)

<http://pacrao.org/b/general/moving-online-the-future-of-course-evaluations/>

Response Rates and Accuracy of Online Course Evaluations, University of Oregon

http://registrar.uoregon.edu/course_evaluations/accuracy_and_validity

Talking Points: Online Evaluations at University of Santa Cruz

https://www.ue.ucsc.edu/sites/default/files/uedocs/Online_vs_paper_evals.pdf